2005 DEFENSE STANDARDIZATION PROGRAM ANNUAL AWARDS

This award is to recognize individuals and teams that have accomplished significant standardization activities promoting interoperability, reducing total ownership costs, or sustaining readiness.

Nominations can be either for individuals or teams, but should be limited to a total of five nominations – the top five of your organization.

Team awards are intended to recognize only those members of a program office or organization whose well-defined standardization efforts resulted in demonstrable benefits to the DoD as defined in the attached format. This award is not intended to recognize all of the technical and administrative functions performed in a program office or organization that contribute to the overall success of a project. Accordingly, team nominations must be limited to only five members.

We will present awards to as many individuals or teams as we deem deserving. There will be neither "organization" awards, nor quotas for Departments or Agencies.

We want to recognize individuals and teams that have accomplished significant standardization activities promoting interoperability, reducing total ownership costs, or sustaining readiness. Areas to consider for nomination include:

Development or documentation of standard interfaces leading to improved interoperability among the Military Departments or with our allies. Influencing, managing, or developing standardization actions leading to a reduced logistics footprint, improved performance, affordability, or readiness. Development or documentation of standardized parts, materials, or equipment leading to operational benefits for the DoD.

When selections are complete, we will contact you with the names of the winners and the arrangements for the award ceremony.

Year 2005 Defense Standardization Program Award Nomination

Name, phone, email address of point of contact for award nomination

Name(s) of nominees/team members

Full identification of nominee's Organization

(Service/Agency, Command/Organization, Office Name & Organizational Code, Complete Mailing Address)

Title/position

Grade

Brief description of accomplishment:

200 words or less giving a brief summary of the accomplishment and payoff.

Background:

Any relevant background information to help the evaluator put the accomplishment in context.

Problem/Opportunity:

Describe the situation that required a standardization action. What problem was being solved, or what opportunity was being missed.

Discussion:

Describe what was done. Include information about the nominee's involvement in development and implementation of the solution.

Outcome:

What happened as a result of what was done? This is not the place to try to quantify savings, but rather to describe what changed.

Payoff:

Present cost savings or cost avoidances, improvements in performance, safety, reliability, quality, sustainability, interoperability or other operational improvement. Try to actually quantify savings or improvements to the extent possible. Also address here the breadth of applicability of accomplishment - is it used across systems, across Military Departments, with our allies? Costs of developing and implementing the solution should be acknowledged and quantified to the extent possible.

Current status:

What is the current status of the accomplishment - is implementation complete?

Problems in effecting solution:

Describe any particular barriers that were overcome in effecting the solution, whether they were monetary, political, technical, cultural, or other.